

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No.: 2:23-cr-00132-APG-EJY

4 Plaintiff

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation Denying Motion to
Compel**

5 v.

6 KOFI SARFO, ROSE SARFO,

[ECF Nos. 67, 98]

7 Defendants

8 The defendants moved to compel production of discovery materials. ECF No. 67.
9 Magistrate Judge Youchah recommended that I deny the motion. ECF No. 98. Sarfo did not
10 object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation.
11 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those
12 portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States*
13 *v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“[T]he district judge must
14 review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but
15 not otherwise.” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Youchah’s report and recommendation
17 (ECF No. 98) is accepted, the defendants’ motion to compel (ECF No. 67) is denied.

18 DATED this 6th day of August, 2024.

19
20 
21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23